

### XRF Lead-Based Paint Inspection & Risk Assessment Report

Report Date: January 26, 2023

### **Conducted At:**

243 Orr Branch Road Robbinsville, NC 28771

### **Prepared For:**

Graham County 12 N Main Street 196 Knight Street Robbinsville, NC 28771

### Provided by:

Matrix Health & Safety Consultants, L.L.C. NC Certified Lead-Based Paint Firm No. FPB-00122 John Pearson: NC Certified Lead-Based Paint Risk Assessor No. 120185

Matrix Job # 230163

Matrix Job Number: 230163

### PROJECT INFORMATION

Matrix Health & Safety Consultants, L.L.C. (Matrix) is pleased to present this report of the survey to identify lead-based paint and perform a lead risk assessment of the property located at 243 Orr Branch Road, North Carolina. The subject unit tested is a one-story structure and was occupied at the time of the survey. This inspection/risk assessment report includes analytical methods and limitations, discussion of XRF procedures, risk assessment procedures, summary of findings, and recommendations.

John Pearson performed the lead-based paint survey and risk assessment at the subject property on January 20, 2023.

### INSPECTION/RISK ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES

The lead-based paint survey began with our inspectors/risk assessors walking the subject property and documenting room equivalents, testing combinations, and selecting test locations. The walls/sides of the property are distinguished by Side A, B, C, or D. Wall or side A is facing the street, then moving clockwise would be wall/side B, C (located at rear of property), and D. After the testing strategy was determined, Matrix used a Viken Pb200i Lead Paint Spectrum Analyzer (XRF) to determine the lead content (mg/cm2) of painted surfaces at the subject residence. For the purpose of this survey, paints with concentrations of 1.0 mg/cm2 or greater were considered lead-based paint. The inspection was conducted following EPA's work practice standards for conducting lead-based paint activities (40 CFR 745.227), the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing (Guidelines) with the 1997 and 2000 revisions, and all State and local regulations.

During the inspection the paint was identified as intact or deteriorated. The table below is the HUD/EPA guideline for assessing paint conditions under Title X of the 1992 Housing and Community Development Act (Revision 1/2004).

HUD and EPA Categories of Paint Film Quality

Type of Building Component <sup>1</sup>	Intact	Deteriorated <sup>2</sup>
Exterior components with large surface areas	Entire surface is intact or less than or equal to 20 ft <sup>2</sup>	Damage to more than 20 ft <sup>2</sup>
Interior components with large surface areas (wall, ceilings, floors, doors)	Entire surface is intact or less than or equal to 2 ft <sup>2</sup>	Damage to more than 2 ft <sup>2</sup>
Interior and exterior components with small surface areas (window sills, baseboards, soffits, trim)	Entire surface is intact or less than or equal to 10% of the total surface area of the component	Damage to more than 10% of the total surface area of the component

Matrix Job Number: 230163

1 "Building Component" in this table refers to each individual component or side of building, **not** the combined surface area of all similar components in a room (e.g. a wall with three ft<sup>2</sup> of deteriorated paint is considered "deteriorated", even if the other 3 walls in a room have no deteriorated paint).

2 Surfaces in "deteriorated" condition are considered to be "lead-based paint hazards" as defined in Title X and should be addressed through abatement or interim controls.

After delineating lead-based paints at the subject residence, Matrix performed a risk assessment. A lead-based paint risk assessment is defined as an on-site investigation to determine the existence, nature, severity, and location of lead-based paint hazards. This was performed by assessing the current condition of the residence, the condition of lead-based paints, along with dust and soil sampling (if required). If residents are available, Matrix also requests that HUD provided resident questionnaires be completed. The risk assessment was conducted following EPA's work practice standards for conducting lead-based paint activities (40 CFR 745.227), the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) *Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing* (Guidelines) with the 1997 and 2000 revisions, and all State and local regulations. However, the State of North Carolina does not allow composite dust wipe samples therefore, only single-surface dust samples were obtained. The Building Condition, Paint Conditions, Dust Wipe Sampling, and Soil Sampling forms are attached with this report.

Lead wipe and composite soil samples will be sent under chain-of-custody documentation to Scientific Analytical Institute in Greensboro, North Carolina, for laboratory analysis. The collected samples were placed into individual sample containers, sealed and a unique identification number was assigned to the sample containers at the time of collection. The identification included the sample collection date and locations. This information was logged on our lead wipe and soil sampling forms and submitted to the laboratory. SAI is an AIHA Accredited ELLAP (Environmental Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program) approved laboratory.

### LEAD-BASED PAINT SURVEY RESULTS

Paint was not identified with concentrations greater than or equal to 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> at the subject property. However, detectable lead quantities less than 1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> may constitute a lead dust hazard even though it is not a lead-based paint as defined by Federal Standards. For a list of all surfaces tested and XRF results, refer to the attached XRF Testing Report.

Matrix Job Number: 230163

### SINGLE SURFACE LEAD WIPE SAMPLING RESULTS

Below you will find charts summarizing lead wipe sampling performed at the 161 York Avenue property. EPA standards for wipe sampling are 10 ug/ft2 (floors) and 100 ug/ft2 (interior window sills and wells).

243 Orr Branch Road (Lead Dust Wipes)

	(		
SAMPLE #	ROOM NAME	SURFACE TYPE	LEAD CONTENT
			(ug/ft2)
243-1	Living Room	Floor	<2.0
243-2	Kitchen	Window Sill	<6.0
243-3	Bedroom B	Floor	<2.0
243-4	Bedroom B	Window Sill	<4.0
243-5	Kitchen	Floor	<2.0
243-6	Bathroom D	Window Sill	13

The Laboratory results of the single-surface wipe samples obtained from the subject property determined that lead concentrations were **below** their respective standards for lead in dust.

### COMPOSITE SOIL SAMPLING RESULTS

For the purpose of this risk assessment, soil samples were obtained as a composite sample in order to represent soil conditions at the subject property. Current EPA Regulations establish lead hazard limits of 400 ug/g for high contact playground areas, and 1,200 ug/g for other residential yard areas.

243 Orr Branch Road (Soil Sample)

Sample #	Location	Bare or Covered	Lead Content (ug/g)
243-S-1	Drip Line	Bare	26

Based on laboratory results, the composite soil samples obtained from the subject drip line was **below** the current EPA/HUD Standards for residential yard areas other than high contact play areas.

### DISCLOSURE

According to the Federal Law (24 CFR part 35 and 40 CFR part 745) a copy of this summary must be provided to new tenants and purchasers of this facility/property, before they become obligated under a lease or sales contract. The entire report must also be provided to new purchasers and be made available to new tenants. Landlords (lessors) and sellers are also required to distribute an educational pamphlet, including standard warning language in their leases or sales contracts to ensure that parents have the information necessary to protect their children from lead-based paint hazards.

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Lead in Construction Standard states that "negative" readings (i.e. those below the HUD/EPA definition of what constitutes LBP [1.0 mg/cm2] does not relieve contractors from performing

exposure assessments (personal air monitoring) on their employees per the OSHA Lead Standard, and should not be interpreted as lead free. Although a reading may indicate "negative", airborne lead concentrations still may exceed the OSHA Action Level or the OSHA Permissible exposure limit (PEL) depending on the work activity.

### **QUALIFICATIONS**

This report summarizes Matrix's evaluation of the conditions observed at the subject property during the course of the survey to identify lead-based paints. Our findings are based upon our observations at the residence and XRF testing performed at the time of this survey. Additional lead-based paints may exist in other portions of the residence but were undetected due to inaccessibility or due to an imperceptible change in paints. Any conditions discovered which deviate from the data contained in this report should be presented to us for our evaluation.

Matrix appreciates the opportunity to have provided these services. We would be glad to discuss any of the results contained in this report, at your convenience. If there are any questions concerning this report or results, please contact us.


Sincerely,

MATRIX HEALTH AND SAFETY CONSULTANTS, L.L.C.

John Pearson Asset Manager

Attachment: XRF Testing Report

HUD Risk Assessment Forms Laboratory Analytical Results



Matrix Health & Safety Consultants LLC

2900 Yonkers Road Raleigh, NC 27604

1/20/2023 - 1/20/2023 INSPECTION DATE:

INSTRUMENT TYPE:

Viken Detection Pb200i XRF Lead Paint Analyzer 3100

 $1.0 \text{ mg/cm}^2$ ACTION LEVEL:

243 Orr Branch Rd Job ID:

STATEMENT:

243 Orr Branch Road Robbinsville, NC Inspection Site: 1/20/2023 - 1/20/2023 Inspection Date:

1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> 57 Action Level:

Total Readings:

01/20/2023 12:20:27 Unit Started:

01/20/2023 13:02:17 Unit Ended:

Read #	Result	RTA Present	COMPONEN	NTSUBSTRATE SIDE	E SIDE	CONDITION Color	Color	Floor	ROOMLead	Mode
									(mg/cm²)	
74	Negative	Off	Wall	Aluminum	٨	Intact	White	First	Exterior 0.1 mg/cm²	Action Level
75	Negative	Off	Wall	Aluminum	Ą	Intact	Yellow	First	Exterior 0.1 mg/cm²	Action Level
76	Negative	Off	trim	Aluminum	A	Intact	Brown	First	Exterior 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action Level
77	Negative	Off	Window Sash	Aluminum	A	Intact	Brown	First	Exterior 0.4 mg/cm²	Action Level
78	Negative	Off	Door	Aluminum	A	Intact	White	First	Exterior 0.0 mg/cm²	Action
79	Negative	Off	Door Jamb	Aluminum	A	Intact	White	First	Exterior 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action Level
80	Negative	Off	Foundation	Aluminum	A Left	Deteriorated	Silver	First	Exterior 0.2 mg/cm²	Action Level
81	Negative	Off	Wall	Aluminum	В	Intact	White	First	Exterior 0.1 mg/cm²	Action Level
82	Negative	Off	Window Sash	Aluminum	В	Deteriorated	Brown	First	Exterior 0.1 mg/cm²	Action Level
83	Negative	Off	trim	Aluminum	В	Deteriorated	Brown	First	Exterior 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action Level
84	Negative	Off	trim	Aluminum	O	Deteriorated	Brown	First	Exterior 0.0 mg/cm²	Action Level
85	Negative	Off	Wall	Aluminum	U	Deteriorated	White	First	Exterior 0.1 mg/cm²	Action Level
86	Negative	Off	Door	Aluminum	U	Intact	White	First	Exterior 0.2 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action Level
87	Negative	Off	Window Sash	Aluminum	U	Deteriorated	Brown	First	Exterior 0.4 mg/cm²	Action Level
88	Negative	Off	Wall	Aluminum	Q	Intact	White	First	Exterior 0.1 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action Level
68	Negative	Off	Wall	Aluminum	Ω	Intact	Yellow	First	Exterior 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action Level
06	Negative	Off	trim	Aluminum	D	Deteriorated	Brown	First	Exterior 0.1 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action Level
91	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	۷	Intact	Red	First	Bedroon 0.1 mg/cm² D	Action Level

243 Orr Branch Road Robbinsville, NC Inspection Site: 1/20/2023 - 1/20/2023 Inspection Date:

1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> 57 01/20/2023 12:20:27 Action Level: Total Readings: Unit Started: Unit Ended:

01/20/2023 13:02:17	
	:
$\subseteq$	1
::	•
(1	)  -
m	)
	ĺ
$\stackrel{-}{\sim}$	)
$\geq$	
$\tilde{\ }$	í
$\geq$	ì
C	)
t Fnded	)
Ť	5
ī	i
_	,
ļ	

Read #	Result	RTA Present	COMPONER	NTSUBSTRATE SIDE	ATE SIDE	CONDITION Color	N Color	Floor	ROOMLead	Mode
									(mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	
92	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	В	Intact	Red	First	Bedroom0.1 mg/cm²	Action
									Ω .	Level
93	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	U	Intact	Red	First	Bedroon 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action Level
94	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	۵	Intact	Red	First	Bedroom0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action
									٥	Level
95	Negative	Off	Ceiling	Panel		Intact	White	First	Bedroon 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action
96	Negative	Off	Door	Wood	В	Intact	Brown	First	Bedroom0.1 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action
									۵	Level
97	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	∢	Intact	Stain	First	Living 0.1 mg/cm <sup>2</sup> Room	Action Level
86	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	В	Intact	Stain	First	Living 0.1 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action
										Level
66	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	U	Intact	Stain	First	Living 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup> Room	Action Level
100	Negative	Off	Ceiling	Panel		Intact	White	First	Living 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action
										Level
101	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	Ф	Intact	Stain	First	Kitchen 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action Level
102	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	٧	Intact	Green	First	Kitchen 0.1 mg/cm²	Action
100	Nontri	4	11/0/1	1000	c	1000		1001	Cm2/2m 0 0 204517	- C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C
103	Negative	5	Wall	ranel	മ	Intact	Green	FILST	Kitchen U.U mg/cm²	Action Level
104	Negative	Off	Ceiling	Panel		Intact	White	First	Kitchen 0.0 mg/cm²	Action
105	Negative	Off	Cabinet	Wood	U	Deteriorated	Stain	First	Kitchen 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action
										Level
106	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	∢	Intact	Stain	First	Pantry 0.1 mg/cm²	Action Level
107	Negative	Off	Ceiling	Panel		Intact	White	First	Pantry 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action
										Level
108	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	⋖	Intact	Stain	First	Hall 0.1 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action Level
109	Negative	Off	Ceiling	Panel		Intact	White	First	Hall 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action

243 Orr Branch Road Robbinsville, NC Inspection Site: 1/20/2023 - 1/20/2023 Inspection Date:

1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> 57 Action Level:

01/20/2023 12:20:27 Total Readings: Unit Started:

01/20/2023 13:02:17 Unit Ended:

Read #	Result	RTA Present	COMPONEN	COMPONENTSUBSTRATE SIDE	E SIDE	CONDITION Color	Color	Floor	ROOMLead	Mode
									$(mg/cm^2)$	
110	Negative	Off	Door	Aluminum	O	Intact	Brown	First	Hall 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action Level
111	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	A	Intact	White	First	Hall 0.1 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action
112	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	В	Intact	White	First	Hall 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup> Bathroom	Action
113	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	O	Intact	White	First	Hall 0.1 mg/cm <sup>2</sup> Bathroo	Action
114	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	Q	Intact	White	First	Hall 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup> Bathroom	Action Level
115	Negative	Off	Ceiling	Panel		Intact	White	First	Hall 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action
116	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	A	Intact	White	First	Bedroom 0.1 mg/cm² B	Action Level
117	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	В	Intact	White	First	Bedroon 0.0 mg/cm² B	Action Level
118	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	U	Intact	White	First	Bedroom0.1 mg/cm² B	Action Level
119	Negative	Off	Wall	Panel	۵	Intact	White	First	Bedroon 0.0 mg/cm² B	Action Level
120	Negative	Off	Ceiling	Panel		Intact	White	First	Bedroom 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup> B	Action
121	Negative	Off	Floor	Mood		Intact	No Stain	First	Bedroon 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup> B	Action Level
122	Negative	Off	Mini-Blind	Vinyl	A	Intact	White	First	Kitchen 0.2 mg/cm²	Action
123	Negative	Off	Mini-Blind	Vinyl	¥	Intact	White	First	Hall 0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup> Bathroo	Action Level
124	Negative	Off	Mini-Blind	Vinyl	В	Intact	White	First	Bedroom 0.1 mg/cm² B	Action Level
125		Off							1.0 mg/cm²	Action Level
126		Off							$1.1~\mathrm{mg/cm^2}$	Action Level
127		Off							1.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Action

243 Orr Branch Road Robbinsville, NC Inspection Site: 1/20/2023 - 1/20/2023 Inspection Date:

1.0 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> 57 Action Level:


Total Readings:

01/20/2023 12:20:27 Unit Started:

01/20/2023 13:02:17 Unit Ended:

Mode	Action	Level	Action	Level	Action Level
ROOMLead (mg/cm²)	0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>		0.0 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>		0.0 mg/cm²
Floor					
CONDITION Color Floor ROOMLead (mg/					
COMPONENTSUBSTRATE SIDE					
RTA Present	Off		Off		Off
Result					
Read #	128		129		130

## ----- END OF READINGS -----





### Form 5.0 Questionnaire for a Lead Hazard Risk Assessment of an Individual Occupied Dwelling Unit

(Page 1 of 2)

(To be completed by risk assessor via interview with ow questions 15 & 16, the owner.)	ner-occupant or,	if a rental unit, a	an adult resi	dent and, for
Property address 243 ORR Branc	h Rel.	Robbins	vitle, 1	UC
Apt. No				☐ Renter occupied
Year of construction 1978				
Name of owner interviewed Clara Cak	ole	Owner interview	w date: _	1,20,23
Name of resident interviewed (if rental unit)				
Name of risk assessor Brit W	ester	v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v		
Children and Children's Habits				
1. Do any children under age 6 live in the home or visit	frequently?	☐ Yes 🛕 No	5	
2. If yes, how many?				
3. Please provide the following information about each	child under 6 to	the extent you c	an.	
	Child 1	Child 2	Child 3	Child 4
(a) Age:				1
(b) Blood lead level:				
(c) Month/year of blood lead test:				
(d) Location of bedroom:				
(e) Main room where child eats:				
(f) Main room where child plays:				
(g) Main room where toys are stored:				
(h) Main locations where child plays outdoors:				
(If a resident child under age 6 has had an elevated bloc [see Chapter 16 of the HUD Guidelines].)	od lead level, an e	environmental in	vestigation	may be necessary
4. (a) Do any children tend to chew on any painted sur	faces, such as in	terior window sil	ls? □ Ye	es PNo
(b) If yes, where?				



### Form 5.0 Questionnaire for a Lead Hazard Risk Assessment of an Individual Occupied Dwelling Unit

(Page 2 of 2)

Property address 243 ORR Branch Rd Robbins ville, NC	
Other Household Information and Family Use Patterns	
5. Do women of child-bearing age live in the home?	
6. If the home is in a building with other dwelling units, what common areas in the building are used by children	?
MA	
7. (a) Which entrance is used most frequently?	
(b) What other entrances are used frequently?	
8. Which windows are opened most frequently?	
9. (a) Do you use window air conditioners? * ☐ Yes 🖟 No	
9. (a) Do you use window air conditioners? * ☐ Yes ☐ No  (b) If yes where?	
* Condensation underneath window air conditioners often causes paint deteriation.	
10. (a) Do you or any other household members garden? ☐Yes ☑No	
(b) If yes, where is the garden?	
(4) 11 / 50/ 111.010 10 1110 811.110111	
11. (a) Are you planning any landscaping activities that will remove grass or ground covering? $\Box$ Yes	] No
(b) If yes, where?	
12. (a) Which areas of the home get cleaned regulary?	
(b) Which areas of the home do not get cleaned regulary?	
13. (a) Are any household members exposed to lead at work? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
(if no, go to question 14.)	
(b) If yes, are dirty work clothes brought home? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
(c) If they are brought home, who handles dirty work clothes and where are they placed and cleaned?	
14. (a) Do you have pets? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
(b) If yes, do these pets go outdoors?	
Building Benevetiens	
Building Renovations  15. (a) Were any building renovations or repainting done here during the past year?	
15. (a) Were any building renovations or repainting done here during the past year? ☐ Yes	
(c) Were carpets, furniture and/or family belongings present in the work areas?	
(d) If yes, which items and where were they?	
(e) Was construction debris stored in the yard? ☐ Yes → No	
(f) If yes, describe what, where and how was it stored.	
16. (a) Are you conducting or planning any building renovations?	
(b) If yes, what work will be done, and when?	



1112

### Form 5.1 Building Condition Form for Lead Hazard Risk Assessment.

Property address 293 0100 13	-Ameh	1506	MAPT. No.
Name of property owner CALL Con	bk		,
Name of risk assessor Brill Weste			Date of assessment 1 / 20/23
Condition	Yes	No	Comments
Roof missing parts of surfaces (tiles, boards, shakes, etc.)	V		Roach
Roof has holes or large cracks	Y		Roach
Gutters or downspouts broken		Ø	
Chimney masonry cracked, bricks loose or missing, obviously out of plumb		p	
Exterior or interior walls have obvious large cracks or holes, requiring more than routine pointing (if masonry) or painting	×		all sipes
Exterior siding has missing boards or shingles		8	
Water stains on interior walls or ceilings	×		Kilchen BAth
Walls or ceilings deteriorated		y	•
More than "very small" amount of paint in a room deteriorated		×	
Two or more windows or doors broken, missing, or boarded up		X	
Porch or steps have major elements broken, missing, or boarded up	p		A SIDE Enly
Foundation has major cracks, missing material, structure leans, or visibly unsound		У	
** T-+-			

11

. 16 .0

011

### Notes (including other conditions of concern):

<sup>\*</sup> The "very small" amount is the *de minimis* amount under the HUD Lead Safe Housing Rule (24 CFR 35.1350(d)) or the amount of paint that is not "paint in poor condition" under the EPA lead training and certification ("402") rule (40 CFR 745.223).

<sup>\*\*</sup> If the "yes" column has any checks, the dwelling is usually considered not to be in good condition for the purposes of a risk assessment, and conducting a lead hazard screen is not advisable. However, specific conditions and extenuating circumstances should be considered before determining the final condition of the dwelling and the appropriateness of a lead hazard screen. If the "Yes" column has any checks, and a lead hazard screen is to be performed, describe below the extenuating circumstances that justify conducting a lead hazard screen.



-	
=	
=	
0	
=	
S	
S	
9	
3	
9	
$\triangleleft$	
~~	
is	
:=	
$\simeq$	
7	
-	
$\alpha$	
d	
Iaz	
1	
7	
æ	
نه	
. ٦	
$\overline{}$	
(for ]	
3	
$\Xi$	
0	
-	
=	
77	
$\simeq$	
8	
7	
100	
نه	
0	
SS	
-	
4	
_	
4	
=	
50	
.=	
>	
#	
of Vi	
-	
0	
ĕ	
-	
Report	
$\sim$	
, , ,	
7	
2.2	
5.2	
a 5.2	
m 5.2	
rm 5.2	

Page 1 of Page 1 of				Or Teeth Testing Notes [e.g., paint testing (e.g., XRF, lab analysis) indicates paint is or is not lead analysis) indicates paint is or is not lead analysis or is not lead surface?  Surface? (Y or N) Results <sup>4</sup> Paint Paint (e.g., XRF, lab analysis) or is not lead based paint; causes(s) of hazard control failures]
Rd, Rubbi			ted Paint	Probable Cause(s) of Deterioration if Known <sup>3</sup>
ORR Branch	Clara Cable	South West	Deteriorated Paint	Is Area Small?² (Y or N)
ORR	MRA	Soull		Area (sq. ft.)
		9	ription	Building Component, Dust, or Bare Soil Play Area/ Non-Play
Property address 243	Name of property owner	Name of risk assessor	Area Description	Location of Building Component, Dust, Component, dust or Bare Soil Area/ Non-Play

ζ						
>						
Š						
Water						
2	-					40:0000000
ما				1		. Herring ob.
Ceilin	1					o soisotto so taola
Bath/ Ritery						1 leafted and an included the article of the contract of the c

<sup>1</sup> Include room equivalent or exterior side or wall, as appropriate.

<sup>2</sup> Lead-safe work practices and clearance/cleaning verification are not required if work does not disturb painted surfaces that total more than

For assisted housing: HUD's de minimis area of: 20 ft² or less on exterior surfaces, 2 ft² or less in any one interior room or space, or 10 percent of the total surface area on an interior or exterior type of component with a small surface area (such as trim, window sills, baseboards);

For unassisted housing, and for child-occupied facilities, EPA's minor repair and maintenance activities threshold of 6 ft<sup>2</sup> or less per room; or 20 ft<sup>2</sup> or less for exterior activities; provided that no prohibited or restricted work practices were used and no window replacement or demolition of painted surface areas is to be done.

4 If paint testing results are obtained on site, use this column to record the result. If a paint chip sample is sent to the laboratory, use this column to record the sample number 3 Common causes of paint deterioration are: moisture (indicate source if apparent), mildew, friction or abrasion, impact, damaged or deteriorated substrate, and severe heat. (or other unique identifier) as a reference to another record containing the sampling data and laboratory results.





### **Analysis for Lead Concentration** in Wipe Samples

by Flame Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy EPA SW-846 3050B/6010C/7000B



Customer: Matrix Health & Safety Consultants

2900-B Yonkers Rd. Raleigh, NC 27604

**Project:** 243 ORR Branch Rd, Robbinsville, NC Attn: Britt Weiter Lab Order ID:

10014632

**Analysis:** 

PBW

**Date Received: Date Reported:**  01/24/2023 01/25/2023

Date Amended:

01/25/2023

Sample ID  Lab Sample ID	Description  Lab Notes	Area (ft²)	Concentration (μg)	Concentration (µg/ft²)
243-1	A entry HF @ door	1	<2.0	<2.0
10014632_0001				
243-2	Kitchen WS A right	0.333	<2.0	<6.0
10014632_0002				
243-3	Bedroom B HF @ door	1	<2.0	<2.0
10014632_0003				
243-4	Bedroom B WS B right	0.5	<2.0	<4.0
10014632_0004				
243-5	Kitchen HF @ stove	1	<2.0	<2.0
10014632_0005				
243-6	Bedroom D WS D left	0.333	4.5	13
10014632_0006				

Disclaimer: Unless otherwise noted blank sample correction was not performed on analytical results. Scientific Analytical Institute participates in the AIHA ELPAT program. ELPAT Laboratory ID: 173190. This report relates only to the samples tested and may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of SAI. Analytical uncertainty available upon request. The quality control samples run with the samples in this report have passed all EPA required specifications unless otherwise noted. RL: (Report Limit for an undiluted 25 ml sample is 2µg Total Pb). Unless indicated, areas and volumes were provided by the customer.

Matthew Caffey (6)



# m 5.4a Field Sampling Form for Dust. (Single-Surface Sampling)

ed paint layers.)	NC	all painted and according
h housing unit, common area, or exterior. Sample all layers of paint, not just deteriorated paint layers.)	206binsville	
s of paint, n	20	\(\frac{1}{2}\)
ole all layer:	Rd	<
exterior. Samp	Branch	
mmon area, or	6 R.R	11,
nousing unit, co	243	
e a separate form for each h	perty address	

Page 1 of

me of prop	erty owner	me of property owner Cloke (Mhile		Ap	Apt. No.	Common	ı Area, Housi	Common Area, Housing Unit, or exterior No.	1
me/Firm o	me/Firm of risk assessor	But West	ester			Date	of assessme	Date of assessment $1/20/23$	
Sample	Roomor	,	Exact Location	ls surface	Sample Area <sup>2</sup>	Sample	Lab Result <sup>4</sup>	•	
Number	Entryway	Surface Type <sup>1</sup>	of Wipe	smooth &	(inches x	Area <sup>3</sup> (ft²)	(pg/ft²)	Notes	
1-177	4	013	admbie	Cleanable	Mcnes)				
2	T 1:0/0	411	(g) 20001	જ	! \ \ !				
7-647	wheher	57	A Right	3)	2 × 24				1
243-3	Bedroom B	ムナ	O Door	<b>L</b> es	71 × 71				
243-4	Deel roon B	つコ	77.2	Les	3 × 24				
243 - 5	12 PC-89	山土	@ Stove	تر	di di di		,		
243-6	Bedroom B	57	おこ	(es	Y × 22				
			/		×				
					×				
					×				
					×				
Hard Floor	HF), Carpeted Flo	oor (CF), or Interic	Hard Floor (HF), Carpeted Floor (CF), or Interior Window Sill (S)						
Measure to	the nearest 1/8 <sup>tl</sup>	Measure to the nearest $1/8^{\text{th}}$ or $1/10^{\text{th}}$ of an inch. $[1/8 =$	nch. [1/8 = 0.125,	2/8 = 0.25, 3/8	0.125, 2/8 = 0.25, 3/8 = 0.375, 4/8 = 0.5, 5/8 = 0.625, 6/8 = 0.75, 7/8 = 0.875	.5, 5/8 = 0.62	25, 6/8 = 0.7	5, 7/8 = 0.875]	
Calculate sa	ımple area in squ	ıare feet as follow	Calculate sample area in square feet as follows: Calculate square inches, then divide by 144.	re inches, then	divide by 144.		•		
Provide are	as, direct laborat	ory to report the	Provide areas, direct laboratory to report the dust lead result in pg/ft².	ı pg/ft².					
IOTE: EPA st	andards: 40 pg/1	ft² (Interior floors	s); 250 pg/ft <sup>2</sup> (inte	rior window sill	s ) for Risk Asses	sment; 25 pg	$g/ft^2$ and $125$	IOTE: EPA standards: 40 pg/ft² (Interior floors); 250 pg/ft² (interior window sills ) for Risk Assessment; 25 pg/ft² and 125 pg/ft² for screen.	
otal number	otal number of samples on this page	vis page	Date of	sample collect	Date of sample collection $1 / 20/23$	123			

/ 23 (Signature and date)

(Signature and date)

Reviewed by\_

)ate results reported by lab \_

eceived by eviewed by

hipped to lab by



### **Analysis for Lead Concentration** in Soil Samples

by Flame Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy EPA SW-846 3050B/6010C/700B



Customer: Matrix Health & Safety Consultants

Attn: Britt Wester

2900-B Yonkers Rd. Raleigh, NC 27604

**Project:** 243 ORR Branch Rd, Robbinsville, NC Lab Order ID:

10014633

**Analysis:** 

**PBS** 

**Date Received:** 

01/24/2023

**Date Reported:** 

01/25/2023

Sample ID  Lab Sample ID	Description  Lab Notes	Mass (g)	Concentration (ppm)	Concentration (% by weight)
243-S-1	Dripline line soil	1.3501	26	0.0026%
10014633_0001				

Disclaimer: Unless otherwise noted blank sample correction was not performed on analytical results. Scientific Analytical Institute participates in the AIHA ELPAT program. ELPAT Laboratory ID: 173190. This report relates only to the samples tested and may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of SAI. Analytical uncertainty available upon request. The quality control samples run with the samples in this report have passed all EPA required specifications unless otherwise noted. RL: (Report Limit for an undiluted 50 ml sample is 4µg Total Pb).

Matthew Caffey (1)



form 5.5 Field Sampling Form for Soil.

roperty address	243 ORR	roperty address 243 ORR Branch Rd		
lame of owner 0	Clara Cuble	Name of risk assessor Brit West		120 123
Type of Area Sampled	Sample	Location of Composite Sample(s)	Approximate Area of Bare Soil Represented by Composite Sample (ft.²)	Laboratory Result (ppm or pg/g)
Bare Soil in Play Areas				
Bare Soil in Non-play Areas in Dripline/ Foundation Area	1-5.542	Porp Line Suil	7500h	
Bare Soil in Non-play Areas in the Rest of the Yard	120			
Weig	shted average of soil	Weighted average of soil-lead concentration in non-play areas of dripline/foundation areas and the rest of the yard:	reas and the rest of the yard:	
IOTE: EPA hazard standard for bare potal number of samples on this page	andard for bare play	OTE: EPA hazard standard for bare play area soil is 400 ppm or pg/g; for bare non-play area soil is 1,200 ppm or pg/g.	30 ppm or pg/g.	
hipped to lab by	487	Klass 1 10	(Signature and date)	
eceived by			/ (Signature and date)	
ate results reported by lab	/ del yd be	/ Reviewed by	Jelly V	207,U) PC

